



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/588,229	06/06/2000	Kazuhiro Kawashiri	P107317-00005	1010
7590 06/16/2004			. EXAMINER	
Arent Fox Kintner Plotkin & Kahn PLLC			LONG, HEATHER R	
1050 Connecticut Avenue N W Suite 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-5339			2615	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	· 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/588,229	KAWASHIRI, KAZUHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Heather R Long	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	DLV IO OFT TO EVOIDE					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Natute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 April 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☑ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	* * *					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/588,229

Art Unit: 2615

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 9, line 20 – page 10, line 11, filed April 5, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-8 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to because they recite the limitation "said first, second, and third colors" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-6 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a control method for a solid state image pickup device having a plurality of photoelectric conversion elements, each of the photoelectric conversion elements being of a type for converting light of each of a plurality of colors into electric charges, a charge read-out regions disposed in a column adjacent to each of the photoelectric conversion elements, charge transfer paths disposed adjacent to each column of the charge read-out regions, and an electronic shutter for clearing electric charges in each of the plurality of photoelectric conversion elements, in which the photoelectric conversion elements, charge read-out regions, charge transfer paths, and electronic shutter are integrated in one semiconductor chip provided with color filters

Application/Control Number: 09/588,229

Art Unit: 2615

disposed above the photoelectric conversion elements, the control method comprising a calculation step of calculating a charge accumulation time of photosensitive conversion elements independently for each color so as to obtain good white balance, in accordance with the charge amount corresponding to the intensity of light of each color detected by the preliminary trial image pickup step; and a final image pickup step of controlling the charge accumulation time of photosensitive conversion elements independently for each color by using the electronic shutter, in accordance with the calculated charge accumulation times.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

The objection to claims 7 and 8.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather R Long whose telephone number is 703-305-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs.: 7:00 am - 4:30 pm, and every other Fri.: 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HRL June 14, 2004

> ANDREW CHRISTENSEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600